
Grid® Report for Requirements Management | Fall 2026

Requirements Management Software



(Requirements Management Software continues on next page)

© 2026 G2, Inc. All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced or distributed in any form without G2's prior written permission. While the information in this report has been obtained from sources believed to be reliable, G2 disclaims all warranties as to the accuracy, completeness, or adequacy of such information and shall have no liability for errors, omissions, or inadequacies in such information.

Requirements Management Software *(continued)*

Requirements Management Software Definition

Requirement management software helps organizations capture, document, organize, analyze, trace, and manage requirements throughout the development lifecycle of software systems, physical products, and complex engineered solutions.

These platforms serve as the authoritative system of record for product and system requirements, connecting what stakeholders need with what engineering teams deliver. They enable structured collaboration between engineering teams, product managers, QA teams, compliance stakeholders, and external reviewers across the full development lifecycle.

Organizations use requirement management software to define product specifications, govern requirement changes, maintain traceability, validate that development outcomes match original intent, and ensure products meet technical, business, regulatory, and customer expectations.

Business and product requirements: Primarily designed for product managers, business analysts, and software teams. Supports business requirements, PRDs, user stories, acceptance criteria, agile planning, and software delivery workflows.

Systems and hardware engineering: Primarily designed for systems engineers, embedded systems, and hardware development teams. Supports engineering requirements, verification and validation, design controls, MBSE, PLM integration, and compliance standards.

Enterprise and cross-domain requirements: Designed to manage requirements across software, hardware, systems engineering, testing, compliance, and product lifecycle processes within a single platform. Provides end-to-end traceability and governance across multiple engineering disciplines.

Core capabilities of requirements management software

Requirement management platforms enable teams to capture and organize requirements in structured, traceable formats with full version control, baselining, and change management. They maintain bidirectional traceability across requirements, tests, defects, risks, and design artifacts, providing coverage analysis and impact assessment throughout the development lifecycle. Collaboration capabilities include structured review and approval workflows, role-based access control, and electronic signatures. Compliance and governance features support regulated industry standards with audit-ready documentation. Platforms integrate with engineering toolchains across the full development lifecycle and increasingly embed AI capabilities for requirements generation, quality analysis, and intelligent traceability.

To qualify for inclusion in the Requirements Management category, a product must:

- Have requirement management as its primary purpose, and requirements must be the central managed lifecycle artifact, not a supporting feature of a testing, project management, or documentation tool
- Capture and manage requirements with structured attributes, configurable types, and organized hierarchies across the full development lifecycle
- Maintain traceability between requirements and at least one other artifact type, such as tests, defects, risks, design documents, or source code
- Provide version control, change tracking, or baselining with a complete and auditable history of all modifications
- Support structured collaboration between engineering teams and stakeholders through reviews, approvals, or workflow-driven governance

(Requirements Management Software continues on next page)

© 2026 G2, Inc. All rights reserved.

Requirements Management Software *(continued)*

Requirements Management Software Definition *(continued)*

How requirements management software differs from other tools

Requirement management software is distinct because requirements are the central managed lifecycle artifact. The platform governs requirements from capture through delivery, with traceability, versioning, change control, and validation built into the core workflow.

Adjacent tools, such as project management, test management, documentation, and product management platforms, may touch requirements at some point in their workflow, but they do not own the requirement lifecycle. In these tools, requirements are either a supporting input, a tagged ticket, or a static document, not a governed, traceable, versioned artifact that is actively managed across the entire development lifecycle.

Requirements Management Grid® Scoring Description

Products shown on the Grid® for Requirements Management have received a minimum of 10 reviews/ratings in data gathered by July 28, 2026. Products are ranked by customer satisfaction (based on user reviews) and market presence (based on market share, seller size, and social impact) and placed into four categories on the Grid®:

Products in the Leader quadrant are rated highly by G2 users and have substantial Market Presence scores. Leaders include: Jama Connect, Polarion, and Modern Requirements4DevOps

High Performing products have high customer Satisfaction scores and low Market Presence compared to the rest of the category. High Performers include: Innoslate, reqSuite® rm, SpiraTeam, and Olive

Contender products have relatively low customer Satisfaction scores and high Market Presence compared to the rest of the category. While they may have positive reviews, they do not have enough reviews to validate those ratings. Contenders include: Altium Develop, codebeamer, IBM Engineering Requirements Management DOORS Next, and Perforce ALM

Niche products have relatively low Satisfaction scores and low Market Presence compared to the rest of the category. While they may have positive reviews, they do not have enough reviews to validate those ratings. Niche products include: SpiraPlan, storywi.se, Flow Engineering, Bugasura, and Matrix Req

© 2026 G2, Inc. All rights reserved.

Grid® Scores for Requirements Management Software

The table below shows the Satisfaction and Market Presence scores that determine product placement on the Grid®. To learn more about each of the products, please see the profile section.

Leaders

	# of Reviews	Satisfaction	Market Presence	G2 Score
Jama Connect	214	96	91	93
Polarion	122	91	94	93
Modern Requirements4DevOps	35	86	56	71

High Performers

Innoslate	46	67	39	53
reqSuite® rm	47	64	27	46
SpiraTeam	24	51	32	42
Olive	32	50	21	35

Contenders

Altium Develop	61	43	76	60
codebeamer	108	28	78	53
IBM Engineering Requirements Management DOORS Next	99	8	88	48
Perforce ALM	54	23	60	41

(Grid® Scores for Requirements Management Software continues on next page)

*** Products are ordered by G2 Score. Satisfaction score is used as a tiebreaker if two products have the same G2 Score.**

© 2026 G2, Inc. All rights reserved.

Grid® Scores for Requirements Management Software *(continued)*

The table below shows the Satisfaction and Market Presence scores that determine product placement on the Grid®. To learn more about each of the products, please see the profile section.

Niche

	# of Reviews	Satisfaction	Market Presence	G2 Score
SpiraPlan	17	46	39	43
storywi.se	13	22	43	33
Flow Engineering	11	42	23	33
Bugasura	10	37	18	28
Matrix Req	14	25	6	15

*** Products are ordered by G2 Score. Satisfaction score is used as a tiebreaker if two products have the same G2 Score.**

© 2026 G2, Inc. All rights reserved.

Grid® Methodology

Grid® Rating Methodology

The Grid® represents the democratic voice of real software users, rather than the subjective opinion of one analyst. G2 rates products from the Requirements Management category algorithmically based on data sourced from product reviews shared by G2 users and data sourced from third parties.

Technology buyers can use the Grid® to help them quickly select the best products for their businesses and to find peers with similar experiences. For sellers, media, investors, and analysts, the Grid® provides benchmarks for product comparison and market trend analysis.

Grid® Scoring Methodology

The Grid® Report for Requirements Management | Fall 2026 is based on reviews collected through July 28, 2026. We apply unique algorithms to this data to calculate Satisfaction (v4.0) and Market Presence (v7.0) scores for the Fall 2026 report quarter. To view the Requirements Management Grid® with the most recent data, please visit the Requirements Management page. For more details on Grid® Scoring, please view the G2 Scoring Methodology here.

Grid® Categorization Methodology

Making G2 research relevant and easy for people to use as they evaluate and select business software products is one of our most important goals. In support of that goal, organizing products and software companies in a well-defined structure that makes capturing, evaluating, and displaying reviews and other research in an orderly manner is a critical part of the research process.

To manage the process of categorizing the software products and the related reviews in the G2 community, G2 follows a publicly available categorization methodology. All products appearing on the Grid® have passed through G2's categorization methodology and meet G2's category standards.

Many terms that appear regularly across G2 and are used to aid in product categorization warrant a definition to facilitate buyer understanding. These terms may be included within reviews from the G2 community or in executive summaries for products included on the Grid®. A list of standard definitions is available to G2 users to eliminate confusion and ease the buying process.

Rating Changes and Dynamics

The ratings in this report are based on a snapshot of the user reviews and third-party data collected by G2 up through July 28, 2026. The ratings may change as the products are further developed, the sellers grow, and as additional opinions are shared by users. G2 updates the ratings on its website in real time as additional data is received, and this report will be updated as significant data is received. By improving their products and support and/or by having more satisfied customer voices heard, Contenders may become Leaders and Niche sellers may become High Performers.

Trust

Keeping our ratings unbiased is our top priority. G2 follows defined community guidelines to ensure privacy, and authenticity for users and reviews. For more details, please view the G2 Community Guidelines here.

(Grid® Methodology continues on next page)

**** Net Promoter, Net Promoter System, Net Promoter Score, NPS and the NPS-related emoticons are registered trademarks of Bain & Company, Inc., Fred Reichheld and Satmetrix Systems, Inc.**

© 2026 G2, Inc. All rights reserved.

Grid® Methodology *(continued)*

Grid® Inclusion Criteria

All products in a G2 category that have at least 10 reviews from real users of the product are included on the Grid®. Inviting other users, such as colleagues and peers, to join G2 and share authentic product reviews will accelerate this process.

If a product is not yet listed on G2 and it fits the market definition above, then users are encouraged to suggest its addition to our Requirements Management category.

Product Profiles

Product profiles and detailed charts are included for products with 10 or more reviews.

© 2026 G2, Inc. All rights reserved.


Jama Connect

4.3 ★★★★½ (222)


Leader

Jama Connect has been named a Leader based on receiving a high customer Satisfaction score and having a large Market Presence. Jama Connect received the highest Satisfaction score among products in Requirements Management. 96% of users rated it 4 or 5 stars, 87% of users believe it is headed in the right direction, and users said they would be likely to recommend Jama Connect at a rate of 86%.


Satisfaction Ratings




Top Industries Represented



Highest-Rated Features



Lowest-Rated Features



Ownership
Jama Software

HQ Location
Portland, OR

Year Founded
2007

Employees (Listed On Linkedin)
310

Company Website
jamasoftware.com

© 2026 G2, Inc. All rights reserved.


Polarion

4.2 ★★★★☆ (161)


FALL 2026 Leader

Polarion has been named a Leader based on receiving a high customer Satisfaction score and having a large Market Presence. Polarion has the largest Market Presence among products in Requirements Management. 91% of users rated it 4 or 5 stars, 86% of users believe it is headed in the right direction, and users said they would be likely to recommend Polarion at a rate of 83%. Polarion is also in the ALM Software category.

Satisfaction Ratings




Top Industries Represented



Highest-Rated Features



Lowest-Rated Features



Ownership
Siemens Digital Industries Software

HQ Location
Plano, Texas

Year Founded
1980

Employees (Listed On Linkedin)
20,941

Company Website
sw.siemens.com

© 2026 G2, Inc. All rights reserved.


Modern Requirements4DevOps

4.8 ★★★★★ (40)

FALL 2026 Leader

Modern Requirements4DevOps has been named a Leader based on receiving a high customer Satisfaction score and having a large Market Presence. 100% of users rated it 4 or 5 stars, 100% of users believe it is headed in the right direction, and users said they would be likely to recommend Modern Requirements4DevOps at a rate of 96%.

Satisfaction Ratings




*N/A is displayed when fewer than five responses were received for the question.


Top Industries Represented



Highest-Rated Features



Lowest-Rated Features



Ownership
Modern Requirements

HQ Location
Toronto, CA

Year Founded
1999

Employees (Listed On Linkedin)
62

Company Website
modernrequirements.com

© 2026 G2, Inc. All rights reserved.


Innoslate

4.6 ★★★★½ (60)

FALL 2026 High Performer

Innoslate has been named a High Performer product based on having high customer Satisfaction scores and a low Market Presence compared to the rest of the category. 98% of users rated it 4 or 5 stars, 96% of users believe it is headed in the right direction, and users said they would be likely to recommend Innoslate at a rate of 91%. Innoslate is also in the Systems Engineering And MBSE category.


Satisfaction Ratings




Top Industries Represented



Highest-Rated Features



Lowest-Rated Features



Ownership
SPEC Innovations

HQ Location
Manassas, US

Year Founded
1993

Employees (Listed On Linkedin)
16

Company Website
innoslate.com

© 2026 G2, Inc. All rights reserved.


reqSuite® rm

4.8 ★★★★★ (48)


FALL 2026 High Performer

reqSuite® rm has been named a High Performer product based on having high customer Satisfaction scores and a low Market Presence compared to the rest of the category. 100% of users rated it 4 or 5 stars, 100% of users believe it is headed in the right direction, and users said they would be likely to recommend reqSuite® rm at a rate of 96%.


Satisfaction Ratings




Top Industries Represented



Highest-Rated Features



Lowest-Rated Features



Ownership
OSSENO Software

HQ Location
Kaiserslautern, Rheinland-Pfalz

Year Founded
2015

Employees (Listed On Linkedin)
10

Company Website
reqsuite.io

© 2026 G2, Inc. All rights reserved.


SpiraTeam

4.2 ★★★★☆ (32)


FALL 2026 High Performer

SpiraTeam has been named a High Performer product based on having high customer Satisfaction scores and a low Market Presence compared to the rest of the category. 88% of users rated it 4 or 5 stars, 86% of users believe it is headed in the right direction, and users said they would be likely to recommend SpiraTeam at a rate of 85%. SpiraTeam is also in the ALM Software category.

Satisfaction Ratings




Top Industries Represented



Highest-Rated Features



Lowest-Rated Features



Ownership
Inflectra

HQ Location
Silver Spring, MD

Year Founded
2006

Employees (Listed On Linkedin)
28

Company Website
inflectra.com

© 2026 G2, Inc. All rights reserved.


Olive

4.4 ★★★★½ (57)


FALL 2026 High Performer

Olive has been named a High Performer product based on having high customer Satisfaction scores and a low Market Presence compared to the rest of the category. 97% of users rated it 4 or 5 stars, 88% of users believe it is headed in the right direction, and users said they would be likely to recommend Olive at a rate of 90%. Olive is also in the RFP, Technology Research Services, and Strategic Sourcing categories.


Satisfaction Ratings




Top Industries Represented



Highest-Rated Features



Lowest-Rated Features



Ownership
Olive Technologies

HQ Location
Vancouver, Canada

Year Founded
2018

Employees (Listed On Linkedin)
19

Company Website
www.olive.app


© 2026 G2, Inc. All rights reserved.

Altium Develop

4.5 ★★★★½ (1,050)

Altium Develop has been named a Contender product based on having a relatively low customer Satisfaction score and large Market Presence compared to the rest of the category. While they may have positive reviews, they do not have enough reviews to validate those ratings. 90% of users rated it 4 or 5 stars, 94% of users believe it is headed in the right direction, and users said they would be likely to recommend Altium Develop at a rate of 82%. Altium Develop is also in the General-Purpose CAD, PCB Design, Product Data Management (PDM), and Mechanical Computer-aided Design (MCAD) categories.


Satisfaction Ratings




Top Industries Represented



Highest-Rated Features



Lowest-Rated Features



Ownership	HQ Location	Year Founded	Employees (Listed On Linkedin)	Company Website
Altium	La Jolla, CA	1985	1,242	www.altium.com


© 2026 G2, Inc. All rights reserved.

codebeamer


4.3 ★★★★½ (141)

codebeamer has been named a Contender product based on having a relatively low customer Satisfaction score and large Market Presence compared to the rest of the category. While they may have positive reviews, they do not have enough reviews to validate those ratings. 94% of users rated it 4 or 5 stars, 87% of users believe it is headed in the right direction, and users said they would be likely to recommend codebeamer at a rate of 86%. codebeamer is also in the ALM Software category.


Satisfaction Ratings




Top Industries Represented



Highest-Rated Features



Lowest-Rated Features



Ownership
PTC

HQ Location
Boston, Massachusetts

Year Founded
1985

Employees (Listed On Linkedin)
8,145

Company Website
www.ptc.com


© 2026 G2, Inc. All rights reserved.

IBM Engineering Requirements Management DOORS Next


4.0 ★★★★☆ (136)

IBM Engineering Requirements Management DOORS Next has been named a Contender product based on having a relatively low customer Satisfaction score and large Market Presence compared to the rest of the category. While they may have positive reviews, they do not have enough reviews to validate those ratings. 84% of users rated it 4 or 5 stars, 84% of users believe it is headed in the right direction, and users said they would be likely to recommend IBM Engineering Requirements Management DOORS Next at a rate of 81%. IBM Engineering Requirements Management DOORS Next is also in the ALM Software and Product Management categories.


Satisfaction Ratings




Top Industries Represented



Highest-Rated Features



Lowest-Rated Features



Ownership
IBM

HQ Location
Armonk, New York, United States

Year Founded
1911

Employees (Listed On Linkedin)
328,202

Company Website
www.ibm.com


© 2026 G2, Inc. All rights reserved.

Perforce ALM


4.1 ★★★★☆ (102)

Perforce ALM has been named a Contender product based on having a relatively low customer Satisfaction score and large Market Presence compared to the rest of the category. While they may have positive reviews, they do not have enough reviews to validate those ratings. 81% of users rated it 4 or 5 stars, 75% of users believe it is headed in the right direction, and users said they would be likely to recommend Perforce ALM at a rate of 81%. Perforce ALM is also in the ALM Software, Bug Tracking, and Software Testing categories.


Satisfaction Ratings




Top Industries Represented



Highest-Rated Features



Lowest-Rated Features



Ownership
Perforce

HQ Location
Minneapolis, MN

Year Founded
1995

Employees (Listed On Linkedin)
2,034

Company Website
perforce.com


© 2026 G2, Inc. All rights reserved.

SpiraPlan

4.5 ★★★★½ (64)


SpiraPlan has been named a Niche product based on having a relatively low Satisfaction score and low Market Presence compared to the rest of the category. While they may have positive reviews, they do not have enough reviews to validate those ratings. 100% of users rated it 4 or 5 stars, 100% of users believe it is headed in the right direction, and users said they would be likely to recommend SpiraPlan at a rate of 89%. SpiraPlan is also in the Project Management, Product Management, and ALM Software categories.

Satisfaction Ratings




*N/A is displayed when fewer than five responses were received for the question.


Top Industries Represented



Highest-Rated Features



Lowest-Rated Features



Ownership
Inflectra

HQ Location
Silver Spring, MD

Year Founded
2006

Employees (Listed On Linkedin)
28

Company Website
inflectra.com


© 2026 G2, Inc. All rights reserved.

storywi.se

4.7 ★★★★½ (13)


storywi.se has been named a Niche product based on having a relatively low Satisfaction score and low Market Presence compared to the rest of the category. While they may have positive reviews, they do not have enough reviews to validate those ratings. 100% of users rated it 4 or 5 stars, 92% of users believe it is headed in the right direction, and users said they would be likely to recommend storywi.se at a rate of 93%.

Satisfaction Ratings



*N/A is displayed when fewer than five responses were received for the question.

Top Industries Represented



Ownership
ireo

HQ Location
Graz, Steiermark

Employees (Listed On Linkedin)
3

Company Website
storywi.se


© 2026 G2, Inc. All rights reserved.

Flow Engineering

5.0 ★★★★★ (11)


Flow Engineering has been named a Niche product based on having a relatively low Satisfaction score and low Market Presence compared to the rest of the category. While they may have positive reviews, they do not have enough reviews to validate those ratings. 100% of users rated it 4 or 5 stars, 100% of users believe it is headed in the right direction, and users said they would be likely to recommend Flow Engineering at a rate of 100%. Flow Engineering is also in the Other CAD and Systems Engineering And MBSE categories.

Satisfaction Ratings




*N/A is displayed when fewer than five responses were received for the question.


Top Industries Represented



Highest-Rated Features



Lowest-Rated Features



Ownership
Flow Engineering

HQ Location
Los Angeles

Year Founded
2016

Employees (Listed On Linkedin)
29

Company Website
flowengineering.com


© 2026 G2, Inc. All rights reserved.

Bugasura


4.6 ★★★★½ (16)

Bugasura has been named a Niche product based on having a relatively low Satisfaction score and low Market Presence compared to the rest of the category. While they may have positive reviews, they do not have enough reviews to validate those ratings. 90% of users rated it 4 or 5 stars, 100% of users believe it is headed in the right direction, and users said they would be likely to recommend Bugasura at a rate of 89%. Bugasura is also in the Test Management, Bug Tracking, and Knowledge Base categories.

Satisfaction Ratings



Top Industries Represented



*N/A is displayed when fewer than five responses were received for the question.

Ownership	HQ Location	Year Founded	Employees (Listed On Linkedin)	Company Website
Moolya	Bengaluru, IN	2020	15	bugasura.io


© 2026 G2, Inc. All rights reserved.

Matrix Req


4.2 ★★★★☆ (27)

Matrix Req has been named a Niche product based on having a relatively low Satisfaction score and low Market Presence compared to the rest of the category. While they may have positive reviews, they do not have enough reviews to validate those ratings. 93% of users rated it 4 or 5 stars, 92% of users believe it is headed in the right direction, and users said they would be likely to recommend Matrix Req at a rate of 88%. Matrix Req is also in the Medical QMS category.

Satisfaction Ratings



Top Industries Represented



Ownership
Matrix One

HQ Location
Oberkirch, BW

Year Founded
2013

Employees (Listed On Linkedin)
79

Company Website
matrixone.health

© 2026 G2, Inc. All rights reserved.

Satisfaction Ratings for Requirements Management

G2 reviewers rated software sellers ability to satisfy their needs as shown in the table below.

	Satisfaction		Satisfaction by Category						Net Promoter Score (NPS)
	Likelihood to Recommend	Product Going in Right Direction?	Meets Requirements	Ease of Admin	Ease of Doing Business With	Quality of Support	Ease of Setup	Ease of Use	
Jama Connect	86%	87%	88%	84%	90%	88%	82%	86%	54
Polarion	83%	86%	89%	78%	82%	81%	79%	83%	39
Modern Requirements4DevOps	96%	100%	98%	N/A	N/A	97%	98%	97%	82
Innoslate	91%	96%	93%	94%	100%	94%	96%	94%	80
reqSuite® rm	96%	100%	93%	91%	99%	98%	91%	90%	93
SpiraTeam	85%	86%	87%	86%	91%	91%	89%	88%	37
Olive	90%	88%	94%	94%	95%	94%	94%	90%	68
Altium Develop	82%	94%	84%	83%	89%	89%	88%	84%	34
codebeamer	86%	87%	87%	81%	89%	85%	81%	81%	47
IBM Engineering Requirements Management DOORS Next	81%	84%	83%	73%	76%	81%	66%	78%	28
Perforce ALM	81%	75%	85%	78%	89%	91%	75%	82%	27
SpiraPlan	89%	100%	98%	N/A	N/A	95%	97%	95%	70
storywi.se	93%	92%	90%	N/A	N/A	93%	93%	88%	84
Flow Engineering	100%	100%	96%	N/A	N/A	99%	96%	99%	100
Bugasura	89%	100%	89%	N/A	N/A	91%	N/A	95%	60
Matrix Req	88%	92%	93%	86%	95%	97%	81%	77%	50
Average	88%	92%	90%	84%	90%	91%	87%	88%	60

***N/A is displayed when fewer than five responses were received for the question.**

****Net Promoter Score ranges from -100 to +100**

© 2026 G2, Inc. All rights reserved.

Feature Comparison for Requirements Management

G2 users have evaluated the following products by feature. Feature ratings are representative of reviewers overall satisfaction with each feature and do not necessarily take into account the breadth of individual product features. The results are shown below.

Administration

	Requirements Listing	Centralized Information	Traceability
Jama Connect	91%	92%	92%
Polarion	92%	90%	96%
Modern Requirements4DevOps	99%	99%	99%
Innoslate	93%	96%	92%
reqSuite® rm	94%	96%	96%
SpiraTeam	93%	90%	93%
Olive	97%	97%	93%
Altium Develop	90%	89%	86%
codebeamer	84%	89%	88%
IBM Engineering Requirements Management DOORS Next	90%	94%	94%
Perforce ALM	93%	93%	88%
SpiraPlan	93%	93%	94%
storywi.se	N/A	N/A	N/A
Flow Engineering	98%	95%	96%
Bugasura	N/A	N/A	N/A
Matrix Req	N/A	N/A	N/A
Average	93%	93%	93%

(Feature Comparison for Requirements Management continues on next page)

***N/A is displayed when fewer than five responses were received for the question.**

****A blank box indicates that a seller has selected that they do not offer that feature.**

© 2026 G2, Inc. All rights reserved.

Feature Comparison for Requirements Management *(continued)*

G2 users have evaluated the following products by feature. Feature ratings are representative of reviewers overall satisfaction with each feature and do not necessarily take into account the breadth of individual product features. The results are shown below.

Communication

	Stakeholder Communication	Internal Communication Enablement
Jama Connect	87%	87%
Polarion	83%	83%
Modern Requirements4DevOps	99%	98%
Innoslate	82%	91%
reqSuite® rm	90%	91%
SpiraTeam	83%	90%
Olive	88%	89%
Altium Develop	71%	78%
codebeamer	81%	86%
IBM Engineering Requirements Management DOORS Next	88%	88%
Perforce ALM	83%	86%
SpiraPlan	94%	94%
storywi.se	N/A	N/A
Flow Engineering	91%	93%
Bugasura	N/A	N/A
Matrix Req	N/A	N/A
Average	86%	89%

(Feature Comparison for Requirements Management continues on next page)

***N/A is displayed when fewer than five responses were received for the question.**

****A blank box indicates that a seller has selected that they do not offer that feature.**

© 2026 G2, Inc. All rights reserved.

Feature Comparison for Requirements Management *(continued)*

G2 users have evaluated the following products by feature. Feature ratings are representative of reviewers overall satisfaction with each feature and do not necessarily take into account the breadth of individual product features. The results are shown below.

Procedural

	Automated Creation & Testing
Jama Connect	76%
Polarion	81%
Modern Requirements4DevOps	99%
Innoslate	90%
reqSuite® rm	81%
SpiraTeam	90%
Olive	87%
Altium Develop	65%
codebeamer	80%
IBM Engineering Requirements Management DOORS Next	89%
Perforce ALM	86%
SpiraPlan	96%
storywi.se	
Flow Engineering	88%
Bugasura	N/A
Matrix Req	N/A
Average	85%

***N/A is displayed when fewer than five responses were received for the question.**

****A blank box indicates that a seller has selected that they do not offer that feature.**

© 2026 G2, Inc. All rights reserved.

Additional Data for Requirements Management

The table below includes a breakdown of the customer segments for each product, as represented by G2 reviewers.

Customers by Size

	Small Business (50 or fewer emp.)	Mid-Market (51-1000 emp.)	Enterprise (>1000 emp.)
Jama Connect	17%	49%	34%
Polarion	19%	29%	52%
Modern Requirements4DevOps	44%	33%	22%
Innoslate	43%	39%	17%
reqSuite® rm	26%	55%	19%
SpiraTeam	9%	65%	26%
Olive	19%	45%	35%
Altium Develop	67%	23%	10%
codebeamer	19%	55%	26%
IBM Engineering Requirements Management DOORS Next	12%	28%	60%
Perforce ALM	28%	46%	26%
SpiraPlan	47%	35%	18%
storywi.se	69%	23%	8%
Flow Engineering	64%	36%	0%
Bugasura	90%	10%	0%
Matrix Req	50%	43%	7%
Average	39%	38%	23%

(Additional Data for Requirements Management continues on next page)

***N/A is displayed when data is not publicly available.**

© 2026 G2, Inc. All rights reserved.

Additional Data for Requirements Management *(continued)*

The table below highlights implementation and deployment data as indicated in real user reviews on G2.

Implementation

	Deployment		Implementation Time	Implementation Method				Number of Users Purchased	Contract Term
	Cloud	On-Premises	Avg. Months to Go Live	In-House Team	Seller Services Team	Third-Party Consultant	Don't know	Median Number of Users Bought	Avg. Contract Term (Months)
Jama Connect	67%	33%	3.9	73%	16%	2%	9%	75	18
Polarion	29%	71%	5.6	54%	8%	23%	15%	17	15
Modern Requirements4DevOps	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Innoslate	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
reqSuite® rm	79%	21%	2.5	56%	28%	4%	12%	17	8
SpiraTeam	67%	33%	3.6	90%	0%	0%	10%	17	8
Olive	93%	7%	2.7	85%	8%	0%	8%	7	7
Altium Develop	84%	16%	1.1	88%	12%	0%	0%	7	8
codebeamer	38%	62%	3.6	72%	6%	13%	9%	37	15
IBM Engineering Requirements Management DOORS Next	36%	64%	6.7	81%	5%	11%	3%	175	21
Perforce ALM	22%	78%	1.8	89%	8%	0%	3%	17	9
SpiraPlan	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
storywi.se	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Flow Engineering	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Bugasura	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Matrix Req	43%	57%	N/A	75%	0%	0%	25%	17	N/A

(Additional Data for Requirements Management continues on next page)

***N/A is displayed when data is not publicly available.**

© 2026 G2, Inc. All rights reserved.

Additional Data for Requirements Management *(continued)*

The table below highlights the average user adoption of each product as indicated in real user reviews on G2.

User Adoption and Return on Investment (ROI)

	User Adoption	Payback Period
	Average User Adoption	Estimated ROI (payback period in months)
Jama Connect	52%	16
Polarion	37%	11
Modern Requirements4DevOps	N/A	N/A
Innoslate	N/A	N/A
reqSuite® rm	53%	14
SpiraTeam	69%	N/A
Olive	44%	14
Altium Develop	56%	8
codebeamer	52%	22
IBM Engineering Requirements Management DOORS Next	43%	37
Perforce ALM	66%	16
SpiraPlan	N/A	N/A
storywi.se	N/A	N/A
Flow Engineering	N/A	N/A
Bugasura	N/A	N/A
Matrix Req	44%	N/A
Average	52%	17

(Additional Data for Requirements Management continues on next page)

***N/A is displayed when data is not publicly available.**

© 2026 G2, Inc. All rights reserved.

Additional Data for Requirements Management *(continued)*

The table below highlights third-party market presence data used to inform the G2's Market Presence Score that highlights each products impact and influence in the category.

Market Presence

	Seller Name	Year Founded	Employees on LinkedIn (Seller)	LinkedIn Followers
Jama Connect	Jama Software	2007	310	14,313
Polarion	Siemens Digital Industries Software	1980	20,941	934,783
Modern Requirements4DevOps	Modern Requirements	1999	62	10,117
Innoslate	SPEC Innovations	1993	16	857
reqSuite® rm	OSSENO Software	2015	10	958
SpiraTeam	Inflectra	2006	28	12,373
Olive	Olive Technologies	2018	19	3,740
Altium Develop	Altium	1985	1,242	107,018
codebeamer	PTC	1985	8,145	406,469
IBM Engineering Requirements Management DOORS Next	IBM	1911	328,202	19,510,413
Perforce ALM	Perforce	1995	2,034	70,494
SpiraPlan	Inflectra	2006	28	12,373
storywi.se	ireo		3	981
Flow Engineering	Flow Engineering	2016	29	8,275
Bugasura	Moolya	2020	15	4,566
Matrix Req	Matrix One	2013	79	27,440

***N/A is displayed when data is not publicly available.**

© 2026 G2, Inc. All rights reserved.